

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,484	FLOHE, TIMOTHY J.
	Examiner Abraham Bahta	Art Unit 1775

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abraham Bahta.

(3) Douglas Siegel.

(2) Deborah Jones.

(4) Tim Flohe (inventor).

Date of Interview: 03 August 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Three dimensional ornament of the present claimed invention.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yedlin 6,042,903 and Benham 4,746,022.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Counsel will consider submitting a proposed claim such that the three-dimensional ornament comprises a spine having a single axis and that the major surface of each of the elongate strips is located in a different vertical plane and the vertical planes intersect at the single vertical axis. Further, Counsel will consider amending the process claims to depend from the product claims. Agreed that the proposed claim defines over Yedlin '903 and Benham '022. Further search including inventor search will be conducted.